

## UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

REGION 6 1445 ROSS AVENUE, SUITE 1200 DALLAS, TX 75202-2733

JUL 0 3 2001

Bob Singleton Water Quality Division Arkansas Department of Environmental Quality 8001 National Drive Little Rock, AR 72219-8913

Dear Mr. Singleton:

We have reviewed the following documents to evaluate the wasteload allocation (WLA) for the Georgia Pacific Corporation at Crossett, Arkansas: (1) the total maximum daily load (TMDL) projections for Ouachita River - Felsenthal Lock and Dam, Arkansas to Sterlington, Louisiana, dated April 1999; (2) review comments dated August 2, 2000, prepared by the Louisiana Department of Environmental Quality (LDEQ); (3) review comments dated October 5 and 19, 2000, prepared by the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) Region 6; (4) response to comments dated February 28, 2001, prepared by ADEQ; (5) response to comments dated February 5, 2001, prepared by AquAeTer, Incorporated; and (6) the Compliance Monitoring Report(s) dated December 12, 2000, prepared by EPA Region 6.

Based on our review of the above mentioned documents, we find that the TMDL model used for wasteload evaluation includes the Ouachita River segment starting from Felsenthal Dam (RM 227) in Arkansas to Sterlington (RM 190) in Louisiana. The original model was reviewed by ADEQ and LDEQ. Both states' review noted concerns about the model calibration related to chlorophyll-a. The model predicted chlorophyll-a concentrations were (range from 8.6 ug/l to 46.0 ug/l) higher than the measured chlorophyll-a concentrations (range from1.0 ug/l to 11.0 ug/l).

To address the above mentioned concern, EPA Region 6 reran the model using the input files prepared by AquAeTer and setting: (1) the oxygen production rate by algae at 1.6 mg - Oxygen per mg -Chl-a; (2) the algae maximum specific growth rate at 2.0 per day; (3) the light function option, LFNOPT equal to 1; and (4) the headwater 7Q10 flow for critical season equal to 1200 cfs. The model was executed for the critical months (June - September), and the initial WLA for the Georgia-Pacific at Crossett, Arkansas, were included in the model. The initial WLA presented below for Georgia-Pacific at Crossett, is technically acceptable, however, the following recommendations are noted:

1. The NPDES permit should include instream and effluent monitoring requirements for sulfate, total dissolved solids (TDS), and chloride to address the decomposed duckweed problem below the OUTFALL #002. The decomposed duckweed problem was documented during a reconnaissance/sampling inspection conducted by EPA Region 6 on October 23-24, 2000.

Bob Singleton Page 2 of 2

\*

- 2. The NPDES permit should include conditions to address the applicable water quality standards for dissolved oxygen for the constructed channel (portion of Coffee Creek) between the OUTFALL #001 and Mossey Lake.
- 3. The NPDES permit should include a condition to allow the Georgia Pacific Corporation at Crossett to collect additional monitoring data for chlorophyll-a during the months of June through September to verify the model predicted chlorophyll-a values and rerun the model to adjust the initial wasteload allocations (if needed).

The initial WLA for the Georgia Pacific Corporation at Crossett, Arkansas, is presented below.

Design	Flow =	45.0	MGD
--------	--------	------	-----

Month(s)	BOD5 (mg/l)	BOD5 (lbs/day)	TN (mg/l)	TN (lbs/day)	TP (mg/l)	TP (lbs/day)	DO (mg/l)
October - May**	21.3	8000	N/A	Ń/A	N/A	N/A	3.5
June - July***	21.3	8000	4.00	1501.2	0.40	150.12	3.5
August ***	5.0	1876.5	4.00	1501.2	0.70	262.71	3.5
September ***	5.0	1876.5	4.00	1501.2	4.00	1501.2	3.5

\*\* Note that the wasteload allocations for the months of October through May for BOD5 are based on the current permitted BOD5 loading of 8000 lbs/day.

\*\*\* Note that the wasteload allocations for the months of June through September for total nitrogen (TN), total phosphorus (TP), and BOD5 are based on the model and an assumed headwater 7Q10 flow of 1200 cfs.

The final wasteload allocations should be released for public comment. At the end of the comment period, a letter should be submitted to the EPA Region 6 Water Quality Protection Division Director for formal action. If revisions to the draft document have occurred, please send a copy of the final wasteload allocation document with your submittal. If no changes were necessary, please submit a final WLA cover page for the existing WLA report. The WLA approval request may be combined with the request to update the Water Quality Management Plan.

Thank you for the opportunity to review this WLA. Please call me at (214) 665-6576 if you have any questions.

Sincerely,

Golam Mustaba

Golam Mustafa, Ph.D. Watershed Management Section

## Georgia-Pacific Crossett Paper Operations EPA/ADEQ Site Visit June 14, 2000

Arrival:	EPA/ADEQ	1200
Presentation:		
Introduction and Welcome	Ted Sapoznik	1200-1205
Overview of NPDES permit application TMDLs Legal issues Brief History	Mayes Starke	1205-1215
Safety Orientation	Scott Bailey	1215-1225
Tour:	Mayes Starke Scott Bailey Tom Gathright	1230-1600

Drive from Environmental to Piggy Bac	k Yard	5 minutes
Tour Piggy Back Yard, P1, P3, area		10 minutes
Drive from Piggy Back Yard to Clarifie	r	5 minutes
Tour clarifier, bar screen, and belt press		15 minutes
Drive through tour of sludge pond close	ure area	10 minutes
Tour ash-settling basins		5 minutes
Drive past surge basin		5 minutes
Tour E1		10 minutes
Drive from E1 to R1		2 minutes
Tour new MCC station and upper pond		10 minutes
Tour port, and lower pond		15 minutes
Drive to E2		2 minutes
Tour E2		10 minutes
Drive from E2 to Mossy Lake		35 minutes
Tour Mossy Lake and area		30 minutes
Drive back to Crossett		35 minutes
Total time	204 minutes	3.40 hours
Meet with City of Crossett:	Claude Spainhour	1730-1900

## Georgia-Pacific Crossett Paper Operations EPA/ADEQ Site Visit June 15, 2000

On site at G-P Facility:	EPA/ADEQ	0830
Tour Ouachita River:	All	0900-1200
Lunch:	On own	1200-1300
Review:	All	1300-1400
Depart Facility:	EPA/ADEQ	1400